STATE OF TENNESSEE

Office of the Attorney General

RECEIVED



2005 JAN 25 PH 1: 51

T.R.A. DUCKET ROOM

PAUL G SUMMERS
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND REPORTER

MAILING ADDRESS

NASHVILLE TN 37202

PO BOX 20207

SOLICITOR GENERAL

CORDELL HULL AND JOHN SEVIER

STATE OFFICE BUILDINGS

MICHAEL E. MOORE

TELEPHONE 615-741-3491 FACS MILE 615-741-2009

LUCY HONEY HAYNES ASSOCIATE CHIEF DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

ANDY D. BENNETT

CHIEF DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

Reply to: Consumer Advocate and Protection Division Post Office Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202

January 25, 2005

Honorable Pat Miller Chairman Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, Tennessee 37243

RE: In Re: Petition of Tennessee-American Water Company for Approval of

Change in Rates and Charges

Docket No. 04-00288

Dear Chairman Miller:

Enclosed is the Consumer Advocate's Response to data requests issued by the TRA dated January 14, 2005, to both Tennessee-American and the Consumer Advocate. If you have any questions, kindly contact me at (615) 741-8700.

Sincerely,

Joe Shirley

Assistant Attorney General

Enclosures cc: Aster Adams All parties "1. Provide a forecasted capital structure for Tennessee American Water Company for the midpoint of the attrition year, June 30 2005."

No company provides a forecasted capital structure and no company provides unqualified growth forecasts. Companies that make forecasts always qualify them with statements such as this statement by Middlesex Water in its 10-k of April 2004.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS. We discuss certain matters in this document which are not historical facts, but which are "forward-looking" statements." We intend these "forward-looking statements" to qualify for safe harbors from liability established by the Private Securities litigation Reform Act of 1995. These "forward-looking statements" may include, but are not limited to, statements regarding: o our expected profitability and results of o strategic plans for growth; o the amount and timing of operations; rate increases and other regulatory matters; o expectations and events concerning capital expenditures; o the availability and quality of our water supply. The "forward-looking statements" in this document reflect what we currently anticipate will happen in each case. What actually happens could differ materially from what we currently anticipate will happen. We are not promising to make any public announcement when we think "forward-looking statements" in this document are no longer accurate, whether as a result of new information, what actually happens in the future or for any other reason. Important matters that may affect what will actually happen include, but are not limited to, general stock market risks, government regulation and fluctuating economic conditions"

Also consider the disclaimer Value Line tells the people who rely on its data:

"Factual material is obtained from sources believed to be reliable and is provided without warranties of any kind. THE PUBLISHER IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY ERRORS OR OMISSIONS HEREIN [sic]."

Neither Dr. Vander Weide nor TAWC testify that they will assume responsibility and liability when Value Line is wrong and consumers are paying excessive rates based on forecasts which are neither attained nor which carry any liability for TAWC.

Therefore, Dr. Brown's opinion is that the only reliable forecasted capital structure for TAWC is the capital structure derived from the 12 water companies SEC Form 10-k, which are audited and certified by independent auditors.

Consider this statement from Brown's Direct, Schedule 16 page 1 of 12:

"We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Artesian Resources Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2003."

Similar statements regarding each company appear in the remaining pages of schedule 16. But there is no such statement for TAWC.

Tennessee-American's "stand-alone" capital structure is neither audited nor certified because TAWC is controlled by RWE, a firm that does not file reports to the U.S SEC, and a firm that does not follow the GAAP accounting procedures used in the U.S. Given this lack of verification, Dr. Brown's opinion is that SEC data, which is audited and certified, is the only reasonable basis to establish a capital structure for RWE, Tennessee-American's owner. The use of SEC data for ratemaking purposes, creates a capital structure for RWE, as if it were operating under GAAP, and therefore create's TAWC's capital structure as if it were derived from GAAP. Without the SEC data, a "stand-alone" TAWC structure is nothing but conjecture masking TAWC's true capital structure as a 100% owned subsidiary of RWE. If you choose to step away from CAPD's methods, and use TAWC's actual capital structure at June 30, 2005, that actual structure is provided in the attachment.

RWE Consolidated Balance Sheet 12/31/2003—12/31/2002—12/31/2001—

Total 100.	TAWC Common Equity=RWE Equity Ratio(10 07%) X Portion Of TAWC's Capital Provided By RWE (80.80%)	Total TAWC Debt Ratio 91.	Capital Not Supplied By RWE: Long Term Debt Provided By Sources Other Than RWE	TAWC Debt Ratio From RWE =RWE Debt Ratio(100% -10 07%) X Portion Of TAWC's Capital Provided By RWE (80 80%)	Portion Of TAWC's Capital Provided By RWE:	Tennessee American Capital Structure At June 30, 2005	Total Capital1	Deferred Income	Deferred Taxes	Liabilities	Provisions		Capital In The Form Of.	RWE Capital Structure At June 30, 2005 Will Be This Average Ratio
00.00%	8.1%	91.86%	19 2%	72 7%	80 8% ↑	}	100 00%	3 60%	5 97%	39 62%	40.74%		10 07%	Ratio
	Actual At June	Teness	credit rating debt is sup	in Box 5 at corner. TAV	The figures	*Source RWE	99,142	3,819	4,526	44,061	37,671	9	9 065	ď,
	Actual Capital Structure At June 30, 2005	Tenessee American's	credit rating that its "short-term' debt is supplied by RWE.	in Box 5 at the lower right-hand corner. TAWC confirmed in its data reponses to CAPD that it has no	The figures of 80.8% and 19.2% are derived in Dr. Brown's Schedule 37.	*Source RWE Annual Reports 2002 and 2003	100,273	3,456	6,566	41,140	40,187	<u>.</u>	8 924	*Euros in Mıllions
	ucture	n's	term"	and its data	.2% are dule 37.)2 and 2003	91,449	3,196	6,206	30,535	40,383		11,129	w

Docket No. 03-00118
Exhibit CAPD-SB /
Direct Testimony
Schedule 1
Page 1 of 4

Consolidated Balance Sheet as at December 31, 2002

Assets			
€ million	Nede		
Non-current assets		12/31/02 -	12/31/0
Intangible assets	(11)	.	
Proberty, plant and equipment		18,518	8,50
Financial assets ^a		33,779	32,31
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	9,280	8,370
Current assets		61,577	49,182
Inventories		i	
Accounts receivable and other assets	(12)	3,505	3,643
Marketable secunities	(13)	16,371	15,244
Cash and cash equivalents	(14)	8,459	10,611
and the first of the second of	(15)	2,143	3,842
Deferred taxes	of my the party of	30,478	33,340
repaid expenses	(16)	7,593	8,399
		625	528
	, an	100,273	91,449
		_	
quity and Liabilities			
million			
quity/Minority interest	Note 1	2/31/02 1	2/31/01
OUR interest	(17)	-	

Group interest Minority interest		
Group interest Minority interest	L2/31/02	12/31/01
Provisions	6,429	7,730
Provisions	2,495	3,399
	8,924	11.129
Liabilities ^a (18)	40,187	40,383
Deferred taxes (19)	41,140	30,535
Deferred income (16)	6,566	6,206
(20)	3,456	3,196

¹ Include €4,030 million in financial assets accounted for using the equity method (previous year €4,614 million) a Include €23,935 million in long-term interest-bearing liabilities (previous year €11,408 million)

Docket No 04-00288
Exhibit CAPD-SB
Direct Testimony
Schedule 17
Page 1 of 1

Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2003

Assets	Note	12/31/03	12/31/02
€million			
Non-current assets	(11)		,
Intangible assets		19,418	18,518
Property, plant and equipment		36,210	33,779
Financial assets		6,778	9,280
		響。如此	61,577
Current assets			
Inventories	(12)	3,285	3 505
Accounts receivable and other assets	(13)	16,947	16,371
Marketable securities	(14)	9,615	8,459
Cash and cash equivalents	(15)	2,181	2 143
Cost of a cost of		12021	30,478
Deferred taxes	(16)		7,593
Prepaid expenses		MANUEL STATES	625
		HEDRY E	100,273

Equity and Liabilities €million	Note	12/31/03	12/31/02
Equity/minority interest	(17)		
Group interest		7,013	6,429
Minority interest	-	2,052	2,495
intiniority interest		STORES.	8,924
Provisions	(18)	FIGA	40,187
Liabilities'	(19)	0.0051	41,140
Deferred taxes	(16)	PER PER	6,566
Deferred income	(20)	ELLO	3,456
Deterred means		SODIE S	100,273

¹ Include €3,674 million in financial assets accounted for using the equity method (previous year €4,030 million)

² Include €23,100 million in long-term interest-bearing habilities (previous year €23,935 million)

"2. Dr. Brown's Schedule 13, page 1 of 1, bottom part, has Company Tickers that do not match the company names. Verify if the data provided in the schedule is accurate."

The data is accurate. The term "NICOR" in the company-name list was at the top of the list, but should have been at the bottom of the list, as shown in the attachment.

Z.	Q.	Ą	2	2	1 2	D:	ş	Z	Θ.		2	Att	7	ا ا	Pie	WC	No	+ No	ρ ∎ Tr
Nicor Inc	Peoples Energy	Atmos Energy	KEYSTAN CURP	AGL Resources	ACI Decimor	odmont Nat Gas	WGL Holdings Inc	Northwest Natural	Gas Companies in Dr Vander Weide's Direct Testimony For Tennessee-American		Peoples criergy	Atmos Energy	YSPAN CORT	AGL Resources	Predmont Nat Gas	WGL Holdings Inc	Northwest Natural	Nicor Inc	The Data is correct. The Term "Nicor" Was At the Top Of The List, But it should Have Been At The Bottom Of The List. Gas Companies in Dr. Vander Weide's Direct. Testimony For Tennessee-American
MACON	NICOB PGL	200	ATO	KSE	ATG	ANA	WGL	NWN	Company Ticker			NICOR	PGI	ATO	ATG	PWY	WGL	WWW	Company Ticker
01,200	AA 011 208	250 089 Sc	48 496 000	159 232 000	63,700,000	33,441,000	48,612,000	26,061,000	Stock Outstanding Listed in Most Recent 10-K Available in April 2004	Schedule		44 011,208	36,689,968	45.496.000	65,700,000	33,441,000	48,612,000	26,061 000	Stock Stock Outstanding Listed in Most Recent 10-K Available in Ana April 2004
	2004/03/31	2004/03/31	2004/03/31	2004/03/31	2004/03/31	2004/03/31	2004/03/31	2004/03/31	Analysis Ends	3		2004/03/31	2004/03/31	2004/03/31	15.EU/POUG	2004/03/31	2004/03/31	2004/03/31	lysis
	2001/01/02	2001/01/02	2001/01/02	2001/01/02	2001/01/02	2001/01/02	2001001002	2001001002	Analysis Begins	Bottom Part Corrected		2001/01/02	2001/01/02	2001/01/02	2001/01/02	20101002	2001001002	2001/01/02	Ends Analysis Begins B
	Ϋ́es	Yes	Ύes	Yes	Yes:	Yes	193	Š	TumOver Reached Within Beginning and End Dates	ted		Y	Yes	Yes	er s	ž š	Š	á	100% Stock TumOver Reached Within Beginning and End Dates
	44,091,100	36,244,500	50,016,000	159 292,600	63,385,200	33,514,100	90,713,100	407 920	Sha			44,091,100	36 244,500	50,016,000	159,292,600	63.385.200	33.514.100	25,859,200	Shares Traded
	100 2%	100 5%	100 2%	100 0%	100 1%	200	100 200	*C 001	Shares Traded As Percent Of Stock OutStanding			100.2%	100 5%	100 2%	100 0%	100 1%	100.2%	100 0%	Shares Traded As Percent Of Stock OutStanding
Average	2003/08/22	2003/05/23	2003/03/27	2003/03/03	2003/02/24	200301731	20020121	2002/12/03	100% Stock TurnOver Since [YYYY/MM/IDD]		Average	2003/08/22	2003/05/23	2003/03/27	2003/03/03	2003/02/24	2003/01/31	2002/12/03	100% Stock TumOver Since
=	9.0	0.9	10	=	=		3 ;	1	Trading Years		11	06	0.9	10	1	1	12	13	Ye art

"3. Provide the capital structure of comparable companies used in Dr. Brown's direct testimony as of June 30, 2004, September 30, 2004 and December 31, 2004. If possible, provide all capital structure of comparable companies as of June 30 of each from 2001 to 2004 and a forecasted capital structure for those companies as of June 30, 2005."

Data For June 30 and Sep 30 2004 is provided.

Data ending Dec. 31, 2004 will not be available until approximately March 2005. Dr. Brown does not have data as of June 30 for 2001, 2002, and 2003. However, all water companies have fiscal years ending Dec 31. The fiscal year data is provided in the SEC form 10ks, which are audited financial statements.

Because the data ending Dec 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 have been audited and certified by independent auditors, Dr. Brown's opinion is that capital structures as of June 30 for each year do not represent annual financial results verified by auditors. In addition, the stability of the audited capital structures is proven by the lack of material change from 2001 to 2003, for the water industry as a whole. A further sign of that stability is the lack of material change from Dec 31, 2003 to June 30, 2004 and Sep 30, 2004.

Regarding a forecasted capital structure for those companies as of June 30, 2005, Dr. Brown's opinion is that a June 30, 2005 capital structure will be substantially the same as the current capital structure. Dr. Brown's opinion is that there is no better source for forecasting than the companies' own behavior.

Furthermore, no company provides a forecasted capital structure and no company provides unqualified growth forecasts, just as Dr. Vander Weide remarks in his rebuttal testimony at page 9, line 28: "the companies 10k reports do not report growth forecasts." Companies that do make such forecasts always qualify them with statements such as: "these are forward looking statements and conditions may change." Consider this statement from Middlesex Water in its 10-k of April 2004.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS. We discuss certain matters in this document which are not historical facts, but which are "forward-looking" statements." We intend these "forward-looking statements" to qualify forsafe harbors from liability established by the Private Securities litigation Reform Act of 1995. These "forward-looking statements" may include, but are not limited to, statements regarding: o our expected profitability and results of operations; o strategic plans for growth; o the amount and timing of rate increases and other regulatory matters; o expectations and events concerning capital expenditures; o the availability and quality of our water supply. The "forward-looking" statements" in this document reflect what we currently anticipate will happen in each case. What actually happens could differ materially from what we currently anticipate will happen. We are not promising to make any public announcement when we think "forward-looking statements" in this document are no longer accurate, whether as a result of new information, what actually happens in the future or for any other reason. Important matters that may affect what will actually happen include, but are not limited to, general stock market risks, government regulation and fluctuating economic conditions"

Also consider the disclaimer Value Line tells the people who rely on its data:

"Factual material is obtained from sources believed to be reliable and is provided without warranties of any kind. THE PUBLISHER IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY ERRORS OR OMISSIONS HEREIN [sic]."

Neither Dr. Vander Weide nor TAWC testify that they will assume responsibility or liability when value line is wrong.

We already know that Value Line is wrong. Dr. Vander Weide uses growth forecasts from Value which are higher than the growth forecasts by I/B/E/S, and I/B/E/S has already been discredited by the federal reserve board chairman. We also know that "analysts forecasts" are very biased. But neither Dr. Vander Weide nor TAWC acknowledge these facts in their rebuttal. They are silent.

Mr. Miller, in his rebuttal testimony, at page 18, line 1, testifies that "the utility business is a long-term business." TAWC wants a return based on analysts and firms that have a long-term history of growth forecasts from I/B/E/S that are nearly double what was actually achieved, as the federal reserve chairman said.

Not only does Tennessee-American want rates based on hugely biased forecasts, it proposes a capital structure that is neither audited nor certified because TAWC is controlled by RWE, a firm that does not file reports to the U.S SEC, and a firm that does not follow the GAAP accounting procedures used in the U.S.

Consider this statement from Brown's Direct, Schedule 16 page 1 of 12:

"We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Artesian Resources Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2003."

Similar statements regarding each company appear in the remaining pages of schedule 16. But there is no such statement for TAWC's stand alone capital structure.

Response to Aster Adams: Data Request 3 Jan 14, 2005 Compare to Brown's Direct Testimony Schedule 15 Page 01 of 12

Docket No 04-00288

Artesian Resources Consolidated Capitalization

(In Thousands of \$)

Certified By Independent

Not Audited Auditors

2004 Sep 30 2004 June 30 2003 Dec 31

Capital Structure Components As Of	2004. Sep 30	2004 June 30	2003 Dec 31	
Short-Term Debt Notes Due	\$11,162	\$11,369	\$12,499	
Short-Term Debt: Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	\$364	\$363	\$188	
Long-Term Debt				
•	\$83,073	\$83,202	\$80,558	
Common Equity	\$54,245	\$53,327	\$52,691	
Preferred	\$	8	\$100	
Total	\$148,844	\$148,261	\$146,036	
2 0	RATIOS			
Short-Term Debt: Notes Due	75%	7 7%	8 6%	
Short-Term Debt: Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	0.2%	0.2%	01%	
Long-Term Debt	55 8%	56 1%	55 2%	
Common Equity	36 4%	36 0%	36 1%	
Preferred /	0 0%	0.0%	01%	
Total (100.0%	100 0%	100 0%	
Sources For Sep 30 and June 30 2004				

863110_ARTESIAN RESOURCES_10-Q_2004_11_03_01

Response to Aster Adams: Data Request 3 Jan 14, 2005 Compare to Brown's Direct Testimony Schedule 15 Page 03 of 12

	(In Thousands of \$)	.	
			Certified By Independent
	Not Audited	Not Audited	Auditors
Capital Structure Components As Of:	2004: Sep 30	2004: June 30	2003: Dec 30
Short-Term Debt: Notes Due	\$2,105	\$1,755	\$4,799
Short-Term Debt: Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	\$0	\$0	\$94
I ong-Term Debt	\$9,000	\$9,000	\$3,948
Common Equity	\$12,668	\$12,605	\$12,723
Preferred	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$23,773	\$23,360	\$21,564
	RATIOS:		
Short-Term Debt: Notes Due	8.9%	7.5%	22.3%
Short-Term Debt: Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Long-Term Debt	37.9%	38 5%	18.3%
Common Equity	53.3%	54.0%	59.0%
Preferred	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sources For Sep 30 and June 30 2004			
1169237_BIW LTD_10-Q_2004_11_15_01			
116923/_BIW L1U_10-4_2004_08_14_01			

Response to Aster Adams: Data Request 3 Jan 14, 2005 Compare to Brown's Direct Testimony Schedule 15 Page 04 of 12

	CW/			
	(In Thousands of \$)	ts of \$)		
		0	Certified By Independent	
	Not Audited	Not Audited	Auditors	
Capital Structure Components As Of:	2004: Sep 30	2004: June 30	2003: Dec 31	
Short-Term Debt: Notes Due	\$0	\$0	\$6,454	
Short-Term Debt: Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	\$857	\$846	\$904	
Long-Term Debt	\$271,895	\$271,968	\$272,226	
Common Equity	\$288,862	\$283,282	\$244,524	
Preferred	\$3,475	\$3,475	\$3,475	
Total	\$565,089	\$559,571	\$527,583	
	RATIOS:			
Short-Term Debt: Notes Due	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	
Short-Term Debt: Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	
Long-Term Debt	48.1%	48.6%	51.6%	
Common Equity	51.1%	50.6%	46.3%	
Preferred	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sources For Sep 30 and June 30 2004				
1035201_CALIFORNIA WATER _10-Q_2004_11_08_01 1035201_CALIFORNIA WATER _10-Q_2004_08_10_01				

Docket No 04-00288

Response to Aster Adams: Data Request 3 Jan 14, 2005 Compare to Brown's Direct Testimony Schedule 15 Page 05 of 12

	CTWS		
	(In Millions of \$)		
			Certified By Independent
	Not Audited	Not Audited	Auditors
Capital Structure Components As Of:	2004: Sep 30	2004: June 30	2003: Dec 30
Short-Term Debt: Notes Due	\$9,700	\$8,700	\$9,700
Short-Term Debt: Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	\$313	\$312	\$254
Long-Term Debt	\$66,472	\$66,534	\$64,754
Common Equity	\$87,578	\$85,170	\$83,315
Preferred	\$847	\$847	\$847
Total	\$164,910	\$161,563	\$158,870
	RATIOS:		
Short-Term Debt: Notes Due	5.9%	5.4%	6.1%
Short-Term Debt: Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
Long-Term Debt	40.3%	41.2%	40.8%
Common Equity	53.1%	52.7%	52.4%
Preferred	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sources For Sep 30 and June 30 2004			
276209_CONNECTICUT WATER _ 10-Q_2004110901			
2/0209_COMMECTION ##ATEN _10~Q_200+_00_10_01			

	CWCO		
and the second s	(ln \$)		
			Certified By Independent
	Not Audited	Not Audited	Auditors
Capital Structure Components As Of:	2004: Sep 30	2004: June 30	2003: Dec 31
Short-Term Debt: Notes Due	\$2,996,722	\$2,049,041	\$2,072,245
Short-Term Debt: Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	\$3,683,144	\$3,683,144	\$3,763,144
Long-Term Debt	\$13,959,084	\$14,771,685	\$16,633,437
Common Equity	\$46,906,796	\$47,101,135	\$44,248,527
Preferred	\$16,705	\$13,346	\$16,302
Total	\$67,562,451	\$67,618,351	\$66,733,655
	RATIOS:		
Short-Term Debt: Notes Due	4.4%	3.0%	3.1%
Short-Term Debt: Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	5.5%	5.4%	5.6%
Long-Term Debt	20.7%	21.8%	24.9%
Common Equity	69.4%	69.7%	66.3%
Preferred	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sources For Sep 30 and June 30 2004			
928340_CONSOLIDATED WATER _10-Q_2004_08_14_01			

į

Response to Aster Adams: Data Request 3 Jan 14, 2005 Compare to Brown's Direct Testimony Schedule 15 Page 07 of 12

Docket No 04-00288

	MSEX			
	(In \$)		100.00	
			Certified By Independent	
	Not Audited	Not Audited	Auditors	
Capital Structure Components As Of:	2004: Sep 30	2004: June 30	2003: DEC 30	
Short-Term Debt: Notes Due	\$6,000,000	\$4,500,000	\$12,500,000	
Short-Term Debt: Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	\$1,089,578	\$1,067,325	\$1,067,258	
Long-Term Debt	\$100,277,424	\$98,284,081	\$97,376,847	
Common Equity	\$94,528,567	\$92,764,447	\$79,643,184	
Preferred	\$4,063,062	\$4,063,062	\$4,063,062	
Total	\$205,958,631	\$200,678,915	\$194,650,351	
	RATIOS:			
Short-Term Debt: Notes Due	2.9%	2.2%	6.4%	
Short-Term Debt: Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	
Long-Term Debt	48.7%	49.0%	50.0%	
Common Equity	45.9%	46.2%	40.9%	
Preferred	2.0%	2.0%	2.1%	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sources For Sep 30 and June 30 2004				

66004_MIDDLESEX WATER _10-Q_2004_11_09_01 66004_MIDDLESEX WATER _10-Q_2004_08_10_01

Response to Aster Adams: Data Request 3 Jan 14, 2005 Compare to Brown's Direct Testimony Schedule 15 Page 08 of 12

	PNNW		
	(In Thousands of \$)		
			Certified By Independent
	Not Audited	Not Audited	Auditors
Capital Structure Components As Of:	2004: Sep 30	2004: June 30	2003: Dec 31
Short-Term Debt: Notes Due	\$5,000	\$3,250	\$2,000
Short-Term Debt: Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	\$9,868	\$9,868	\$368
Long-Term Debt	\$17,343	\$17,363	\$26,879
Common Equity	\$29,928	\$29,430	\$30,172
Preferred	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$62,139	\$59,911	\$59,419
	RATIOS:		
Short-Term Debt: Notes Due	8.0%	5.4%	3.4%
Short-Term Debt: Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	15.9%	16.5%	0.6%
Long-Term Debt	27.9%	29.0%	45.2%
Common Equity	48.2%	49.1%	50.8%
Preferred	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total .	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sources For Sep 30 and June 30 2004			
788885_PENNICHUCK CORP _10-Q_2004_1115_01 788885_PENNICHUCK CORP _10-Q_2004_08_14_01			

J

Response to Aster Adams: Data Request 3 Jan 14, 2005 Compare to Brown's Direct Testimony Schedule 15 Page 09 of 12

Docket No 04-00288

	WTR		
	(In Thousands of \$))	
			Certified By Independent
	Not Audited	Not Audited	Auditors
Capital Structure Components As Of:	2004: Sep 30	2004: June 30	2003: Dec 30
Short-Term Debt: Notes Due	\$147,823	\$151,672	\$96,459
Short-Term Debt: Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	\$41,145	\$41,120	\$39,386
Long-Term Debt	\$772,225	\$770,505	\$696,666
Common Equity	\$681,206	\$676,876	\$659, <i>030</i>
Preferred	\$0	\$	\$0
Total	\$1,642,399	\$1,640,173	\$1,491,541
	RATIOS:		
Short-Term Debt: Notes Due	9.0%	9.2%	6.5%
Short-Term Debt: Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	2.5%	2.5%	2.6%
Long-Term Debt	47.0%	47.0%	46.7%
Common Equity	41.5%	41.3%	44.2%
Preferred	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sources For Sep 30 and June 30 2004			
78128_AQUA AMERICA_10-Q_2004_11_05_01			
/8128_AQUA AMERICA _ 10-4_2004_06_05_01			

Response to Aster Adams: Data Request 3 Jan 14, 2005 Compare to Brown's Direct Testimony Schedule 15 Page 10 of 12

	SJW		
	(In Thousands of \$)	\$)	
			Certified By Independent
	Not Audited	Not Audited	Auditors
Capital Structure Components As Of:	2004: Sep 30	2004: June 30	2003: Dec 30
Short-Term Debt: Notes Due	\$0	જ	\$0
Short Town Dobt: Courset Bortion of Long-Town Dobt	\$47	\$93	\$184
Short-term Dept. Current Fordon of Ford-Term Dept.	į		9,64
Long-Term Debt	\$143,898	\$143,917	\$139,614
Common Equity	\$173,450	\$168,922	\$166,368
Preferred	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$317,395	\$312,932	\$306,166
	RATIOS:		
Short-Term Debt: Notes Due	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Short-Term Debt: Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Long-Term Debt	45.3%	46.0%	45.6%
Common Equity	54.6%	54.0%	54.3%
Preferred	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sources For Sep 30 and June 30 2004			
766829_SJW CORP_10-Q_2004_11_08_01			
/00029_2004 CORF			

Response to Aster Adams: Data Request 3 Jan 14, 2005 Compare to Brown's Direct Testimony Schedule 15 Page 11 of 12

Docket No 04-00288

Short-Term Debt: Notes Due 18.6% 4.1% 10.7% Short-Term Debt: Current Portion of Long-Term Debt 1.6% 2.4% 1.7% Long-Term Debt 28.3% 31.8% 36.3% Common Equity 51.4% 61.4% 50.9%
--

Response to Aster Adams: Data Request 3 Jan 14, 2005 Compare to Brown's Direct Testimony Schedule 15 Page 12 of 12

	MacA		
	(In Thousands of \$)	9	
			Certified By Independent
	Not Audited	Not Audited	Auditors
Capital Structure Components As Of:	2004: Sep 30	2004: June 30	2003: Dec 31
Short-Term Debt: Notes Due	\$7,443	\$9,781	\$7,153
Short-Term Debt: Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	\$4,339	\$2,739	\$2,739
Long-Term Debt	\$35,584	\$29,904	\$29,913
Common Equity	\$47,601	\$39,937	\$39,057
Preferred	\$0	so	\$0
Total	\$94,967	\$82,360	\$78,862
	RATIOS:		
Short-Term Debt: Notes Due	7.8%	11.9%	9.1%
Short-Term Debt: Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	4.6%	3.3%	3.5%
Long-Term Debt	37.5%	36.3%	37.9%
Common Equity	50.1%	48.5%	49.5%
Preferred	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sources For Sep 30 and June 30 2004			
108985_YORK WATER _10-Q_2004_11_08_01			
100900_1 CVV ##V1EW			

Response to Aster Adams: Data Request 3 Jan 14, 2005 Compare to Brown's Direct Testimony Schedule 14 Page 1 of 1

Capital Structure Summary As Requested By The TRA For Sep 30 and June 30 2004

	Data Requested By TRA 2004 Sep 30	Data Requested By TRA 2004 June 30
Capital Structure RATIOS:		
Short-Term Debt, Notes Due	6.5%	5 6%
Short-Term Debt Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	2 6%	2 6%
Long-Term Debt	40 2%	40 9%
Common Equity	50 4%	50.5%
Preferred	0 3%	0 3%
Total	100 0%	100 0%

*Capital Structure Summary As Filed By CAPD

·	2003 Dec 31	2002 Dec 31 As Filed By	2001 Dec 31 As Filed By	3 Yr Average As Filed By
Capital Structure RATIOS:	As Filed By CAPD	CAPD	CAPD	CAPD
Short-Term Debt Notes Due	5 9%	5 7%	7 0%	6 2%
Short-Term Debt Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	1.0%	0.8%	1 4%	1 1%
Long-Term Debt	40 0%	40 6%	41 1%	40 6%
Common Equity	52.6%	52.6%	50 1%	51.8%
Preferred	0.4%	0 4%	0.3%	0 4%
Total	100.0%	100 0%	100 0%	100 0%

*The comparison between the June 30 and Sep 30 data of 2004 to the 2003 Dec 31 data led to our discovery of an error in the calculation of the averages. The error was that American States Water's (AWR) capital structure ratios were omitted from the calculation of the overall ratios. The correct calculation is provided below. The error was to the company's favor but not materially. Had the corrected capital structure been filed, the CAPD's proposed revenue reduction would have increased by \$32,000, from \$1.530 million to \$1.562 million.

*Capital Structure Summary As Corrected By CAPD

	2003 Dec 31 Corrected By	2002. Dec 31 Corrected By	2001 Dec 31 Corrected By	3 Yr Average Corrected By
Capital Structure RATIOS:	CAPD	CAPD	CAPD	CAPD
Short-Term Debt Notes Due	7.4%	5 8%	5.8%	6 3%
Short-Term Debt. Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	1 3%	0.9%	0.9%	1 1%
Long-Term Debt	41.6%	41 1%	41 0%	41 2%
Common Equity	49 5%	51 8%	51 8%	51 0%
Preferred	0 3%	0 3%	0.4%	0 3%
Total	100.0%	100 0%	100 0%	100 0%